A Congestion Control Algorithm: To Improve Dissemination of Event Driven Message in VANET

Dr. Kirti Shukla[1] and Amit Shukla[2]
[1]CCSIT, TMU, Moradabad
[21]CCSIT, TMU, Moradabad
[1]drkirti.computers@tmu.ac.in
[2]amit_1shukla@rediffmail.com

***Abstract*— Mobile ad hoc network (MANET) is a collection of mobile computers or devices that cooperatively communicate with each other without any pre-established infrastructures such as a centralized access point. There are several issues in VANET. One of them is congestion control. In case of increase in the number of beacon messages broadcasted by many vehicles, the communication channel will easily be congested. So, to overcome this problem we have proposed an algorithm that increases the availability of communication channel for emergency messages over the beacon messages.**
***Keywords:* MANET, VANET, Mobility.**

INTRODUCTION

Vehicular Ad hoc Network (VANET) is a form of mobile ad-hoc network (MANET) that provides communication among the vehicles and vehicle-to-roadside infrastructure by wireless communications. It first came into existence when it was used by the US government Department of Transportation. Indeed, because of its wide application in society that promises to revolutionize the way we drive, various car manufacturers, government agencies and standardization bodies have organized national and international consortia devoted exclusively to VANET. Examples include the Car-2-Car Communication Consortium [1], the Vehicle Safety Communications Consortium [2], and Honda's Advanced Safety Vehicle Program among others. The first thing comes into mind is to provide safety and convenience for travelers. The Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) can provide wide variety of services such as routes to improve safety and reduce transportation times and fuel consumption.There are two types of safety messages in ad hoc networks; beacon messages and emergency message. The beacon safety messages are generated after a certain period of time for the neighboring vehicles to make aware of them to the speed, location etc.These messages are preventive in nature, and its objective is to avoid the occurrence of dangerous situations. On the other hand, the emergency messages are generated if any abnormal condition may occur [3], [4].Communication delay and reliability are two stringent requirements for event-driven safety messages. The safety message will propagate from a source outwards as far as possible in order to inform as many nodes in the network as possible about the situation. As a result, such messages have the highest priority. Due to the VANET's unique characteristics, such as scalability, high robustness expectations, strict delay requirements and security issues; the design of such a technology becomes an extraordinary challenge for the wireless research community. Many congestion control algorithms in Vehicular Networks (VANETs) have been studied. However, most of congestion control algorithms are not directly applicable to uni-priority of event-driven safety messages the emergency messages must be delivered to each neighboring node without any delays. A single delayed or lost emergency message could result in loss of life. To resolve this issue we should keep in mind that the emergency message transmission takes place before the beacon messages.The uni-priority of event-driven safety messages are caused by the traffic of the same priority. So, to solve this problem many scheduling algorithm has been proposed. When every node in the network has messages to send, a good organized measure of broadcast performance is the average rate at which *any* particular node receives packets

successfully from *any* other source. We call this the *broadcast efficiency*. This can be achieved by minimizing the number of transmitted packets, but still achieving a high number of messages by all nodes in a specific geographic region. Many simulation-based works have been conducted to analyze similar performance metric. VANETs' safety applications will rely on broadcasting as the major block for localization, routing and dissemination of safety and warning messages to all vehicles in their neighborhood. Vehicles will be equipped with sensors and GPS systems to collect information about their position, speed, acceleration and direction to be broadcasted to all vehicles within their range. Upon receiving and processing this information, vehicles can detect and avoid potential dangers.

2. OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF WORK

Vehicular ad hoc network has received a lot of interest in a last couple of years. To ensure safe and reliable communication within VANET message priorities are evaluated according to the type of message whether it is event driven or beacon. As one of the main issues of VANET is the high demand of ITS applications for both safety and comfort purposes, it is not good to alter the performance of these applications (by reducing the transmission of power or beacon transmission rate) to prevent network congestion. To cope with this problem we have proposed an algorithm that ensures the availability of control channel for the emergency messages. Exchange of Information between vehicle to vehicle and vehicle to infrastructure plays very important role in order to decrease the message crowd and to improve the performance in terms of reliability and delay. In this we will concentrate on the feasibility of deploying the safety application by reducing the number of beacon message propagation and also simulate the result.As discussed by Vedha Vinodha.D, Mrs.V.Seethalakshmi in [5], the transmission of event-driven messages within a geographical area as in Fig. A particular vehicle produces a hazard warning message (emergency message) in case a dangerous situation is detected This emergency message should be propagated on the road as quickly and reliably as possible, in order to enable the drivers of approaching vehicles to undertake adequate



Fig. A Car to car communication

Countermeasure for safety and security of the self and the neighboring nodes.

3. LITERTURE REVIEW/RELATED WORK

Congestion control algorithm in Vehicular Networks (VANETs) has been extensively studied. However, most of congestion control algorithms are directly applicable for event-driven safety messages. The event-driven safety messages stringent requirement has on delay and reliability. If any event driven message is lost then it will result in loss of life. It's very important to keep the CCH channel free from Congestion.

In research paper [6], Bouassida, M.S., and Shawky developed a congestion control approach based on the concept of dynamic priorities-based scheduling. On the other hand, the congestion control algorithm for event-driven safety messages was developed by Zang, Y.P., Stibor, L., Cheng, X., Reumerman, H. J., Paruzel A., & Barroso, A in [7]. This congestion control approach evaluated the performance of the Safety Electronic Brake Light with Forwarding (EEBL-F). In [8], J,,Chen, H.C. proposed congestion control algorithm for DSRC based on safety applications. However, they just assumed the CCH channel is successfully reserved for event-driven applications without testing the success rate for event-driven safety messages. By Yu-Chih Wei1 and Yi-Ming Chen in [9], the idea of beacon-based trust is introduced to estimate and to verify

constantly a vehicle's position, velocity, and drive direction. In a similar study in [10], YOUSEFI Saleh, FATHY Mahmood, BENSLIMANE Abderrahim emphasized on theoretical analysis for finding the best values of design parameters such as road traffic situation, e.g., speed, density, level of danger and develop methods for setting optimum or sub-optimum values of the design parameters. Again this work is not sufficient to make the control channel free in case of safety messages.

Research by W. Zhang, A. Festag in [11] proposed smart efficient rebroadcast scheme algorithms to prevent the congestion channels problem by limiting the forwarded packets. The blindly broadcasting beacon message will cause a lot of redundancy packets and lead the broadcast storm problem. The purpose of this algorithm is to ensure the delivery of higher priority message before the less priority message. According to [12], Robert Lasowski and Claudia Linnhoff-Popien consider beaconing as a service (BaaS).For this they propose two approaches service-oriented beaconing strategies, Beacon Forwarding Service (BFS) and Beacon Rate Control Service (BRCS), which are based on the following design principles:

• Vehicles send beacons with a minimum interval of 2 Hz and 500 ms lifetime.

• Every vehicle can request a beacon update from its neighbors. Hereby, the requestor is specified as a service user (SU) that triggers a service at the service provider (SP) by sending a service request message (SREQ).

• The behavior of SP and the particular service characteristics can be influenced by an SU specifying appropriate service attributes within the SREQ message.

• Single-hop propagation is basically used. However, a requested beacon is forwarded using one additional hop.

• A dual radio concept is mainly applied.

These are the specific conditions or assumptions at which beaconing can be considered as service.

The challenges for the existing research are that any congestion control algorithm is not able to control the beacon message. Above research only discusses how we can check the worthiness of the nodes that are transmitting the beacon message at very high rate or how we can compensate beaconing as a service. This research includes the detection of type of message (beacon or event driven) and after detection how we can control the situation.

4. METHODOLOGY USED

The event-drive detection method monitors the event-driven safety message and decides to start the congestion control algorithm whenever event-driven safety message is detected or generated. The congestion control algorithm will launch immediately the queue freezing method for all MAC transmission queues except for the event-driven safety message. In order to send event-driven safety massage timely, we have to control the transmission of beacon message. The event-driven detection method has been used in the existing congestion control algorithm by Zang, Y.P., Stibor in [13].

With the help of research [14] by Mohamad Yusof Darus , our proposed scheme has three phases:

a. Congestion Detection

i. Emergency Detection

ii. Beacon Detection

b. Scheduling

c. Rebroadcasting Scheme

```
/* Congestion Control */
If ((event-driven safety message is locally generated)
or (event-driven messages is globally detected))
{
Block all MAC queues except for the event-driven
Safety messages
}
Else {
If (Queue length for beacon message> Threshold)
{
Discard CCH channel for beacon messages
}
Else {
If (no. of event-driven messages detected >1) {
Block all MAC queues except for the event driven
Safety messages queues based on PRIORITY based
Scheduling
}
```

}
}

Working of the proposed algorithm has been described with the help of flowchart given below as in [15].



Figure1: Flowchart steps of the proposed congestion control algorithm

4.1 Congestion Detection: The purpose of the congestion detection is to monitor
Communication channel and detect congestion. We will apply emergency detection method and develop a new measurement-based detection method in proposed congestion control. The emergency detection method will block lower priority packets if the node detects emergency message. While the measurement-based detection method monitor the communication channel and detect communication channel for congestion. The communication channel is congested if the packet queues of beacon messages exceed the defined threshold, and the congestion control will discard further beacon messages. In dense network, we assumed the high number of beacon messages generated by vehicles.

4.2 Scheduling: In VANET, the packets with same priority are scheduled with FIFO approach. But FIFO technique is not suitable for all VANETS. So for this purpose fully distributed congestion (FDC) control algorithm is used. This algorithm set different priorities for each VANET application. The priorities depend on how crucial this information is for vehicle safety. The highest priority is given to emergency message, such as road accident or malfunctioning of brakes.

4.3 Rebroadcasting Scheme: In VANETs, its shared wireless medium, blindly broadcasting of packets may lead to frequent contention and collisions in transmission among neighbouring nodes.

5. EXPECTED OUTCOME

In this Paper, we have tried to expose the strong and weak points of some of the existing congestion control algorithms in VANETs. Our proposed algorithm will control the message crowd and make the communication channel available to the warning messages .It will definitely improve the efficiency of the communication channel as well as it ensures the timely delivery of the event driven messages in VANET environment. In future work, we are also planning to verify and evaluate performance of our proposed congestion control algorithms using network simulator.

REFERENCES

[1]. Car 2 Car Communication Consortium, http://www.car-to-car.org/.
[2]. US Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, “Vehicle safety communications consortium,” http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/nrd-12/CAMP3/pages/VSCC.htm.

[3] M. Khabazian, and M.K. Ali, "A Performance Modeling of Connectivity in Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks", IEEE Transactions On Vehicular Technology, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 2440 – 2450, July 2008.

[4] M. Li, W. Lou, et al., "OppCast: Opportunistic Broadcast of Warning Messages in VANETs with Unreliable Links," Proc. of 6th International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Systems,Macau, pp. 534-543, 2009

[5] Vedha Vinodha.D, Mrs.V.Seethalakshmi"Analusis of safety measures and quality routing in vanets" In International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) www.ijmer.com Vol.2, Issue.2, Mar-Apr 2012 pp-062-066 ISSN: 2249-6645

[6] Bouassida, M.S., and Shawky, M. (2008). "On The Congestion Control Within Vanet".1stIFIP Wireless Days. pp. 1-5.

[7] Zang, Y.P., Stibor, L., Cheng, X., Reumerman, H. J., Paruzel A., & Barroso, A. (2007)."Congestion Control in Wireless Networks for Vehicular Safety Applications". In Proc. Of The 8th European Wireless Conference.

[8] He, J., Chen, H.C. et al. (2010). Adaptive Congestion Control for DSRC VehicleNetworks, IEEE Communications Letters, Vol. 14, No. 2.

[9] Yu-Chih Wei12 and Yi-Ming Chen2"Efficient Self-Organized Trust Management in Location Privacy Enhanced VANETs"

[10] YOUSEFI Saleh, FATHY Mahmood, BENSLIMANE Abderrahim"Performance of beacon safety message dissemination inVehicular Ad hoc NETworks (VANETs)" Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE A 2007 8(12).

[11] W. Zhang, A. Festag, et al. "Congestion Control for Safety Messages in VANETs: Concepts and Framework", In Proceeding 8th Conference on ITS Telecommunications (ITST), Thailand, 2008, pp. 199-203

[12] Robert Lasowski and Claudia Linnhoff-Popien, University of Munich "Beaconing as a Service: A Novel Service-Oriented Beaconing Strategy for Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks" IEEE Communications Magazine October 2012

[13] Zang, Y.P., Stibor, L., Cheng, X., Reumerman, H. J., Paruzel A., & Barroso, A., Congestion Control in Wireless Networks for Vehicular Safety Applications.

[14] Mohamad Yusof Darus , Kamalrulnizam Abu Bakar. "Congestion Control Framework for Emergency Messages in VANETs" International Journal of Research and Reviews in Computer Science (IJRRCS)Vol. 2, No. 3, June 2011.

[15] Kirti Shukla , Dr. C.K.Jha " An algorithm to Ensure the Availability of Communication Channel for Event Driven Messages in VANET" International Journal of Computer (0975 – 8887) Volume 151 – No.9, October 2016